

ALABAMA BUREAU OF  
**PARDONS & PAROLES**

**MONTHLY  
STATISTICAL  
REPORT**

---

**December 2023**

Developed and presented by the ABPP Data Unit

*For questions, please visit [paroles.alabama.gov](https://paroles.alabama.gov) or contact our  
Public Information Unit at 334.242.8700.*



## Table of Contents

Monthly Statistical Summary	3
Revocations Report	6
Field Staffing	7
Special Populations Staffing	8
Board Action Yearly Trends	9
Parole Guidelines Tracking	10
Year to Date Parole Decision Outcomes	11
Monthly Parole Decision Outcomes	12
Day Reporting Center Report	13
Population Trends	15
Electronic Monitoring Report	17

# Statistical Summary

As of December 31, 2023

## Supervision Summary

Supervision Type	# Of Active Offenders	# Of Inactive Offenders	Total by Type Offenders	% Of Total Offenders
Probation	17,231	16,040	<b>33,271</b>	78%
Parole & Dual	3,586	1,237	<b>4,823</b>	11%
Mandatory Supervision	1,004	955	<b>1,959</b>	5%
Other	9	10	<b>19</b>	0%
Investigation	19	2,664	<b>2,683</b>	6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>21,849</b>	<b>20,906</b>	<b>42,755</b>	<b>100%</b>

## Interstate Compact Offenders (OS)

Supervision Type	# Of Active Offenders	# Of Inactive Offenders	Total by Type Offenders
Probation	2,749	1,400	<b>4,149</b>
Parole & Dual	626	468	<b>1,094</b>
Mandatory Supervision	4	24	<b>28</b>
Other	0	0	<b>0</b>
Investigation	10	8	<b>18</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,389</b>	<b>1,900</b>	<b>5,289</b>

## Special Population Offenders

Supervision Type	# Of Active Offenders	# Of Inactive Offenders	Total by Type Offenders
<b>DRC Participants</b>	<b>573</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>794</b>
<i>Probation</i>	138	99	<b>237</b>
<i>Parole &amp; Dual</i>	156	48	<b>204</b>
<i>Other</i>	0	0	<b>0</b>
<i>Mandatory Supervision</i>	279	73	<b>352</b>
<i>Investigation</i>	0	1	<b>1</b>
<b>ACES Clients</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>Probation</i>	1	1	<b>2</b>
<i>Parole &amp; Dual</i>	0	0	<b>0</b>
<i>Mandatory Supervision</i>	0	0	<b>0</b>
<i>Investigation</i>	0	0	<b>0</b>
<b>RM Grant Clients</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>55</b>
<i>Probation</i>	7	9	16
<i>Parole &amp; Dual</i>	6	0	6
<i>Mandatory Supervision</i>	32	1	33
<i>Investigation</i>	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>851</b>

# Statistical Summary

As of December 31, 2023 (continued)

Supervision Type	# Of Active Offenders	# Of Inactive Offenders	Total by Type Offenders	% Of Total Offenders
<b>Probation</b>	<b>17,231</b>	<b>16,040</b>	<b>33,271</b>	<b>78%</b>
<i>Violent</i>	6,272	6,286	<b>12,558</b>	37.7%
<i>Non-Violent</i>	10,959	9,754	<b>20,713</b>	62.3%
Drug	7,274	7,201	<b>14,475</b>	43.5%
Sex	499	296	<b>795</b>	2.4%
Property	3,751	5,240	<b>8,991</b>	27.0%
Personal Injury	1,716	1,328	<b>3,044</b>	9.1%
Other	3,991	1,975	<b>5,966</b>	17.9%
<b>Parole &amp; Dual</b>	<b>3,586</b>	<b>1,237</b>	<b>4,823</b>	<b>11%</b>
<i>Violent</i>	2,403	829	<b>3,232</b>	67.0%
<i>Non-Violent</i>	1,183	408	<b>1,591</b>	33.0%
Drug	1,118	334	<b>1,452</b>	30.1%
Sex	36	23	<b>59</b>	1.2%
Property	865	323	<b>1,188</b>	24.6%
Personal Injury	797	260	<b>1,057</b>	21.9%
Other	770	297	<b>1,067</b>	22.1%
<b>Mandatory Supervision</b>	<b>1,004</b>	<b>955</b>	<b>1,959</b>	<b>5%</b>
<i>Violent</i>	629	576	<b>1,205</b>	61.5%
<i>Non-Violent</i>	375	379	<b>754</b>	38.5%
Drug	534	464	<b>998</b>	50.9%
Sex	24	13	<b>37</b>	1.9%
Property	224	265	<b>489</b>	25.0%
Personal Injury	110	80	<b>190</b>	9.7%
Other	112	133	<b>245</b>	12.5%
<b>Other</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0%</b>
<i>Violent</i>	2	4	<b>6</b>	32%
<i>Non-Violent</i>	7	6	<b>13</b>	68%
<b>Investigation</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2,664</b>	<b>2,683</b>	<b>6%</b>
<i>Violent</i>	3	258	<b>261</b>	9.7%
<i>Non-Violent</i>	16	2,406	<b>2,422</b>	90.3%

\*Supervised offenders may be in more than one offense type category.

## Total Population Comparison Violent vs. Non-Violent

	# Of Active Offenders	# Of Inactive Offenders	Total by Type Offenders	% Of Total Offenders
Total Violent Population	9,309	7,953	17,262	40%
Total Non-Violent	12,540	12,953	25,493	60%
<b>Total Population</b>	<b>21,849</b>	<b>20,906</b>	<b>42,755</b>	<b>100%</b>

# Statistical Summary


As of December 31, 2023 (continued)

## Demographic Summary (By Race and Gender)



Race	Male	% of Total Male Population	Female	% of Total Female Population	# Of Offenders	% Of Total By Race
White	16,741	50.1%	6,433	68.9%	23,174	54.2%
Black or AA	15,705	47.0%	2,774	29.7%	18,479	43.3%
Native American	57	0.2%	16	0.2%	73	0.2%
Hispanic	741	2.2%	79	0.8%	820	1.9%
Asian or other	63	0.2%	15	0.2%	78	0.2%
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	6	0.1%	6	0.0%
Unknown	75	0.2%	20	0.2%	95	0.2%
<b>Total By Gender</b>	<b>33,382</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>9,343</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>42,725</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

\*Excludes 30 of unknown race and gender

## Revocations by Type



**Board Action Yearly Trends**  
Parole and Mandatory Release Supervision



### Parole Revocations


	New Offense	New Offense and Technical	Technical Offense Only	Dec-23	Fiscal Year*
Revoked	36	12	15	37	100
Reinstated				31	84
Continued				0	2
Remanded				2	10
<b>Total Hearings</b>				<b>70</b>	<b>196</b>

### Mandatory Release Revocations

	New Offense	New Offense and Technical	Technical Offense Only	Dec-23	Fiscal Year*
Revocations	2	0	1	3	5

### Probation Revocations


	New Offense	New Offense and Technical	Technical Offense Only	Dec-23	Fiscal Year*
Probation Revocations	88	32	49	169	322



\*Fiscal Year denotes October 1<sup>st</sup> thru September 30<sup>th</sup>


### REVOCATIONS BY OFFENDER TYPE FY24

Parole	100
Mandatory Release	5
Probation	322
<b>Total</b>	<b>427</b>



### REVOCATIONS BY OFFENDER TYPE December - 2023

Parole	70
Mandatory Release	3
Probation	169
<b>Total</b>	<b>242</b>



## Field Operations Staffing Report | December 2023

District	Office Location	#Officers assigned	Total Active	Total Inactive	Projected Caseload w/New Hires	Officer in Charge	Parole Officers	New Hires	Specialists
1A	Chatom/Butler	4	152	177	38	1	3	0	1
1A	Mobile	25	1515	1228	61	7	18	0	6
1B	Andalusia	5	297	368	59	1	4	0	2
1B	Bay Minette	13	781	1130	60	3	10	0	2
1B	Brewton	6	379	523	63	1	5	0	1
1B	Evergreen	2	64	46	32	0	2	0	0
1B	Greenville	5	285	225	57	1	4	0	1
1B	Grove Hill	4	110	180	28	1	3	0	0
1B	Monroeville	2	85	52	43	1	1	0	1
1C	Abbeville	2	109	96	55	0	2	0	0
1C	Dothan	16	825	870	52	3	13	0	4
1C	Enterprise	4	254	201	64	1	3	0	1
1C	Geneva	3	217	93	72	1	2	0	1
1C	Ozark	5	279	148	56	2	3	0	1
1D	Clayton	5	156	116	31	1	4	0	0
1D	Opelika	9	604	785	67	2	7	0	2
1D	Phenix City	6	379	393	63	1	5	0	2
1D	Troy	5	188	222	38	1	4	0	1
1D	Tuskegee	3	105	65	35	1	2	0	0
1E	Anniston	12	905	1053	75	3	9	0	1
1E	Lafayette	4	152	117	38	1	3	0	1
1E	Wedowee	4	133	125	33	1	3	0	1
	<b>Division Total</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>7974</b>	<b>8213</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>29</b>
2A	Montgomery	14	1055	1085	75	4	10	0	4
2B	Alexander City	3	87	108	29	1	2	0	1
2B	Ashland	3	143	163	48	1	2	0	1
2B	Ashville	5	148	166	30	1	4	0	1
2B	Dadeville	3	83	71	28	1	2	0	1
2B	Pell City	5	215	301	43	1	4	0	1
2B	Sylacauga	4	165	187	41	1	3	0	1
2B	Talladega	4	245	313	61	1	3	0	1
2C	Bessemer	12	638	434	53	2	10	0	2
2C	Cullman	6	286	242	48	1	5	0	1
2C	Oneonta	4	195	210	49	0	4	0	1
2D	Birmingham	30	1783	1316	59	10	20	0	5
2E	Centre	4	205	178	51	1	3	0	1
2E	Fort Payne	4	265	278	66	1	3	0	1
2E	Gadsden	10	593	810	59	3	7	0	2
2E	Guntersville	7	522	460	75	2	5	0	1
2E	Scottsboro	5	277	382	55	1	4	0	1
	<b>Division Total</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>6905</b>	<b>6704</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26</b>
3A	Calera	9	613	1221	68	3	6	0	1
3A	Centreville	3	152	114	51	1	2	0	1
3A	Clanton	4	274	267	69	1	3	0	2
3A	Prattville	4	189	163	47	1	3	0	2
3A	Selma/Camden	4	117	164	29	1	3	0	0
3A	Wetumpka	6	312	397	52	2	4	0	2
3B	Carrollton	3	70	115	23	1	2	0	1
3B	Fayette	3	102	140	34	1	2	0	1
3B	Jasper	6	242	151	40	1	5	0	1
3B	Demopolis	4	122	110	31	2	2	0	1
3B	Tuscaloosa	14	993	1731	71	4	10	0	2
3B	Vernon	3	120	121	40	0	3	0	1
3C	Decatur	8	549	382	69	2	6	0	1
3C	Double Springs	3	114	146	38	1	2	0	0
3C	Florence	5	246	358	49	1	4	0	1
3C	Hamilton	5	261	278	52	1	4	0	0
3C	Moulton	4	245	152	61	1	3	0	1
3C	Russellville	3	111	185	37	1	2	0	0
3C	Sheffield	3	151	27	50	1	2	0	1
3D	Athens	5	236	99	47	1	4	0	0
3D	Huntsville	21	1539	1234	73	5	16	0	5
	<b>Division Total</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>6758</b>	<b>7555</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>
	Headquarters	5	2	848	0	1	4	0	2
	<b>FIELD OPERATIONS TOTAL</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>21639</b>	<b>23320</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>79</b>

## Special Population Staffing Report | December 2023

Office Code	Office Location	#Officers assigned	Total Active	Inactive	Projected Caseload w/New Hires	*OICs	Parole Officers	New Hires	Specialists
R06	Birmingham DRC	4	88	90	22	1	3	0	0
R74	Mobile DRC	7	115	103	16	1	6	0	1
R75	Montgomery DRC	7	74	42	11	1	6	0	0
R78	Huntsville DRC	6	82	93	14	2	4	0	0
R79	Tuscaloosa DRC	6	95	53	16	2	4	0	0
Y69	Thomasville DRC	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	1
<b>DRC TOTAL</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>

\* Officers in Charge at full Day Reporting Centers do not typically carry a caseload.

	PREP Center	2	3	2	2	1	1	0	0
--	-------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---


DRC LiteTotals									
1B	Baldwin DRC Lite	2	36	36	18	1	1	0	0
2E	Fort Payne DRC-Lite	2	20	16	10	1	1	0	0
2E	Sand Mountain DRC-Lite	2	18	30	9	1	1	0	0
3B	Jasper DRC-Lite	2	25	12	13	1	1	0	0
1D	Opelika DRC-Lite	2	48	37	24	1	1	0	0
<b>DRC LITE TOTAL</b>		<b>10</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>STATEWIDE TOTAL</b>		<b>43</b>	<b>604</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>

\* The DRC Lites staff a social service caseworker or equivalent to assist with treatment.



## Board Action Yearly Trends-Parole


**FY2015 - FY 2023 Grant Rates**



Fiscal Year	Grants	Denials	Total Decisions	Grant Rate
FY2015	2270	3782	6052	38%
FY2016	3107	3350	6457	48%
FY2017	3847	3151	6998	55%
FY2018	3730	3263	6993	53%
FY2019	1368	3078	4446	31%
FY2020	548	2160	2708	20%
FY 2021	648	3584	4232	15%
FY 2022	409	3593	4002	10%
FY 2023	312	3479	3791	8%


## Board Action Yearly Trends-Pardons

**FY2015 - FY 2023 Grant Rates**



Fiscal Year	Grants	Denials	Total Decisions	Grant Rate
FY2015	538	171	709	76%
FY2016	290	90	380	76%
FY2017	571	211	782	73%
FY2018	830	208	1038	80%
FY2019	889	239	1128	79%
FY2020	210	299	509	41%
FY2021	353	944	1297	27%
FY 2022	799	604	1403	57%
FY 2023	1082	751	1833	59%

## Parole Decisions and Guideline Tracking by Month



Month-Year	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23	Jan-24	Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	FY 2024 YTD
Total Parole Hearings	247	255	178										680
Total Granted	48	33	33										114
Total Denied	199	222	145										566
Grant Rate	19%	13%	19%										17%
*Recommended Grant Rate	85%	83%	85%										84%
**Conformance Rate	23%	16%	22%										20%

\* Parole Guidelines were adopted in July 2020 and data collection began immediately. As data are not yet available for analysis, guidelines have not been validated and therefore do not indicate an individuals likelihood to be successful if paroled. Planning for validation of parole guidelines includes initial assessment in 2022. After validation of the guidelines more information will be available on the actual predictive nature of this tool and therefore revisions may be necessary.

\*\* A conformance rate indicates the percentage of cases where the Board's decisions matched that of the recommendation from the Parole Guidelines. The Board maintains descretion on all parole decisions.

## Yearly Totals Parole Decision Outcomes & Guideline Conformance

FY 2024		Total Decisions YTD	Total Granted YTD	Total Denied YTD	Grant Rate YTD	*Conformance to Guidelines
		Decisions	Grant	Deny	Rate	Conform
<b>Totals</b>		<b>680</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>566</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>22%</b>
Gender	Male	612	272	558	44%	19%
	Female	68	41	56	60%	39%
Race	Black	355	151	329	43%	19%
	White	319	158	280	50%	25%
	Hispanic	2	2	2	100%	0%
	Unknown	4	2	3	50%	0%
Age	YO	0	0	0	NA	NA
	18-29	56	27	51	48%	27%
	30-39	204	89	187	44%	18%
	40-49	204	97	186	48%	23%
	50-59	148	68	129	46%	23%
	60-69	50	25	44	50%	25%
	70-79	16	5	15	31%	11%
	80+	2	2	2	100%	NA
Risk Assessment	Low	222	104	201	47%	22%
	Moderate	308	146	274	47%	22%
	High	116	48	107	41%	15%
	Very High	34	15	32	44%	50%
Offense Severity	Low	135	65	119	48%	25%
	Moderate	109	57	90	52%	27%
	High	435	190	404	44%	18%
Institutional Behavior	0 Disc w/in last 12 months	540	256	478	47%	23%
	1 or more non-violent disc	106	44	102	42%	17%
	1 violent disc	23	10	23	43%	0%
	2 + violent disc	10	2	10	20%	NA
Programming	Completed Req. Programs	495	232	446	47%	21%
	Reasonable Effort Made	118	58	112	49%	14%
	No Reasonable Effort Made	56	20	46	36%	27%
	Unacceptable Compliance	10	2	9	20%	NA
Offense Type	Violent	354	138	344	39%	7%
	Non-violent	326	175	270	54%	40%

\*A conformance rate indicates the percentage of cases where the Board's decisions matched that of the recommendation from the Parole Guidelines. The Board maintains discretion on all parole decisions.

## Monthly Parole Decision Outcomes

December-23		Total Decisions Current Month	Total Granted Current Month	Total Denied Current Month	Grant Rate Current Month	Guidelines Recommend	Guidelines Not Recommend	*Guideline Grant Rate	**Conformance to Guidelines
		Decisions	Grant	Deny	Rate	Grant	Deny	Rate	Conform
<b>Totals</b>		178	33	145	19%	152	26	85%	22%
<b>Gender</b>	Male	160	26	134	16%	134	26	84%	19%
	Female	18	7	11	39%	18	0	100%	39%
<b>Race</b>	Black	105	16	89	15%	85	20	81%	19%
	White	73	17	56	23%	67	6	92%	25%
	Hispanic	0	0	0	NA	0	0	NA	0%
	Unknown	0	0	0	NA	0	0	NA	0%
<b>Age</b>	YO	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0%	NA
	18-29	11	3	8	27%	11	0	100%	27%
	30-39	48	7	41	15%	38	10	79%	18%
	40-49	59	11	48	19%	47	12	80%	23%
	50-59	38	8	30	21%	35	3	92%	23%
	60-69	13	3	10	23%	12	1	92%	25%
	70-79	9	1	8	11%	9	0	100%	11%
	80+	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0%	NA
<b>Risk Assessment</b>	Low	60	13	47	22%	58	2	97%	22%
	Moderate	80	16	64	20%	72	8	90%	22%
	High	31	3	28	10%	20	11	65%	15%
	Very High	7	1	6	14%	2	5	29%	50%
<b>Offense Severity</b>	Low	42	10	32	24%	40	2	95%	25%
	Moderate	31	8	23	26%	30	1	97%	27%
	High	105	15	90	14%	82	23	78%	18%
<b>Institutional Behavior</b>	0 Disc w/in last 12 months	137	29	108	21%	125	12	91%	23%
	1 or more non-violent disc	32	4	28	13%	24	8	75%	17%
	1 violent disc	4	0	4	0%	3	1	75%	0%
	2 or more violent disc	5	0	5	0%	0	5	0%	NA
<b>Programming</b>	Completion of Required Programs	130	23	107	18%	111	19	85%	21%
	Reasonable Effort Made	10	1	9	10%	7	3	70%	14%
	No Reasonable Effort Made	32	8	24	25%	30	2	94%	27%
	Unacceptable Compliance	6	1	5	17%	4	2	67%	NA
<b>Offense Type</b>	Violent	85	6	79	7%	85	8	100%	7%
	Non-violent	93	27	66	29%	67	18	72%	40%

\* Parole Guidelines were adopted in July 2020 and data collection began immediately.

\*\* A conformance rate indicates the percentage of cases where the Board's decisions matched that of the recommendation from the Parole Guidelines. The Board maintains discretion on all parole decisions.

# Day Reporting Center Enrollment Report

DRC Active offenders as of December 31, 2023

DRCs		Birmingham DRC	Mobile DRC	Montgomery DRC	Huntsville DRC	Tuscaloosa DRC	Thomasville DRC	DRC Totals	
<b>Offender Types</b>									
<b>Gender</b>	Male	50	81	55	44	59	0	289	
	Female	13	13	4	8	17	0	55	
<b>Race</b>	Black	40	49	39	34	46	0	208	
	White	23	44	20	18	29	0	134	
	Unknown	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	
<b>Age</b>	YO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	18-29	7	10	7	9	20	0	53	
	30-39	14	36	15	17	20	0	102	
	40-49	22	28	17	12	20	0	99	
	50-59	12	10	9	13	14	0	58	
	60-69	7	10	11	1	2	0	31	
	70-79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	80+	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	<b>Phases</b>	Phase 1	27	36	36	33	33	0	165
		Phase 2	28	53	19	12	43	0	155
Phase 3		8	5	4	7	0	0	24	
<b>Closed Monthly</b>	Completed	22	7	2	9	7	0	47	
	Closed-Failure	12	2	0	10	6	1	31	
	Closed-Administrative	2	1	0	1	3	0	7	

\* DRCs offer treatment services to offenders who have high criminogenic needs and are available to judges as a form of alternate sentencing. The three-phase, evidence-based program helps clients assimilate back into society after incarceration. Although these facilities have only existed in Alabama since 2015, the Bureau has already seen evidence to their impact on individuals who complete programming.

## Day Reporting Center Enrollment Report cont.

DRC Lite Active offenders as of December 31, 2023

		DRC Lites	Bay Minnette	Fort Payne	Sand Mountain	Jasper	Opelika	DRC Lite Totals
		Offender Types						
Gender	Male	23	13	7	14	28	85	ABPPP
	Female	2	6	4	4	7	23	
Race	Black	3	2	1	1	21	28	
	White	22	17	10	16	13	78	
	Unknown	0	0	0	1	1	2	
	YO	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Age	18-29	0	1	2	0	8	11	
	30-39	10	7	3	6	8	34	
	40-49	7	2	3	6	10	28	
	50-59	6	5	3	5	4	23	
	60-69	1	4	0	1	4	10	
	70-79	1	0	0	0	1	2	
	80+	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Closed Monthly Phases	Phase 1	19	14	7	8	13	61	
	Phase 2	2	1	0	10	11	24	
	Phase 3	4	4	4	0	11	23	
	Completed	38	10	22	0	12	82	
	Closed-Failure	22	16	16	8	0	62	
	Closed-Administrative	0	0	1	1	2	4	

\*Alabama consists of many rural communities, presenting challenges to delivering the type and scope of services that offenders typically receive in full Day Reporting Centers. Therefore, the Bureau has adopted the DRC Lites model for smaller, more rural offices. DRC Lites are condensed versions of DRCs and offer similar programming but are limited to focusing on cognitive behavioral treatment, substance dependence assistance and educational/job training.

FY 2024 Active Population Trends by Location

Field Offices

Counties	Offices	FY 23 Avg.	Dec 23 Totals
Autauga	Prattville	177	189
Baldwin	Bay Minette	760	781
Baldwin	Clayton	151	156
Bibb	Centreville	146	152
Blount	Oneonta	196	195
Butler	Greenville	281	285
Calhoun	Anniston	906	905
Chambers	LaFayette	159	152
Cherokee	Centre	197	205
Chilton	Clanton	266	274
Clarke	Grove Hill	137	110
Clay	Ashland	155	143
Coffee	Enterprise	247	254
Colbert	Sheffield	138	151
Conecuh	Evergreen	64	64
Covington	Andalusia	289	297
Cullman	Cullman	339	286
Dale	Ozark	258	279
DeKalb	Fort Payne	249	265
Elmore	Wetumpka	284	312
Escambia	Brewton	357	379
Etowah	Gadsden	591	593
Fayette	Fayette	104	102
Franklin	Russellville	125	111
Geneva	Geneva	205	217
Henry	Abbeville	99	109
Houston	Dothan	813	825
Jackson	Scottsboro	281	277
Jefferson	Bessemer	603	638
Jefferson	Birmingham	1808	1783

Field Offices Cont.

Counties	Offices	FY 23 Avg.	Dec 23 Totals
Lamar	Vernon	114	120
Lauderdale	Florence	248	246
Lawrence	Moulton	252	245
Lee	Opelika	550	604
Limestone	Athens	228	236
Macon	Tuskegee	100	105
Madison	Huntsville	1398	1539
Marengo	Demopolis	124	122
Marion	Hamilton	243	261
Marshall	Guntersville	388	522
Mobile	Mobile	1410	1515
Monroe	Monroeville	92	85
Montgomery	Montgomery	1060	1055
Morgan	Decatur	568	549
Pickens	Carrrollton	80	70
Pike	Troy	202	188
Randolph	Wedowee	127	133
Russell	Phenix City	350	379
Shelby	Calera	630	613
St. Clair	Pell City	182.5	215
St. Clair	Ashville	182	148
Talladega	Talladega	223	245
Talladega	Sylacauga	225	165
Tallapoosa	Alexander City	98	87
Tallapoosa	Dadeville	94	83
Tuscaloosa	Tuscaloosa	1008	993
Walker	Jasper	236	242
Washington	Chatom/Butler	123	152
Wilcox	Selma/Camden	114	117
Winston	Double Springs	112	114

DRCs

Counties	Offices	Fy 23 Avg.	Dec 23 Totals
Clarke	Thomasville	2	0
Jefferson	Birmingham	92	90
Madison	Huntsville	55	77
Mobile	Mobile	74	110
Montgomery	Montgomery	53	74
Tuscaloosa	Tuscaloosa	87	105

DRC Lites

Counties	Offices	Fy 23 Avg.	Dec 23 Totals
Baldwin	Baldwin	26	33
DeKalb	Fort Payne	30	25
Walker	Jasper	15	24
Lee	Opelika	36	42
Marshall	Sand Mountain	34	17

PREP Center

Counties	Offices	Fy 23 Avg.	Dec 23 Totals
Perry	Prep	15	35



**Population Trends Cont.**

**Supervision Types FY 2024**



	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23	Jan-24	Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	FYTD 24 Average
Probation	17,031	17,239	17,231										17,167
Parole	3,704	3,641	3,586										3,644
Mandatory Release	980	999	1,004										994

\*Offenders serving probation and parole count as parole. Offenders serving MR and probation are counted as MR.

**Risk Levels FY 2024**

	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23	Jan-24	Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	FYTD 24 Average
Very High	409	418	419										415
High	1,606	1,682	1,513										1,600
Moderate	4,423	4,473	4,434										4,443
Low	13,504	13,660	13,837										13,667
Banked Caseload	1,798	1,751	1,723										1,757

\* Risk levels include Very High, Moderate, Low and BCL. Levels are determined by a validated risk and needs assessment tool.





## Electronic Monitoring Participants December 2023

### Field Offices

Counties	Offices	Monthly Total
Autauga	Prattville	15
Baldwin	Bay Minette	50
Barbour	Clayton	4
Bibb	Centreville	5
Blount	Oneonta	4
Calhoun	Anniston	62
Chambers	LaFayette	10
Cherokee	Centre	10
Chilton	Clanton	30
Clarke	Grove Hill	2
Coffee	Enterprise	15
Colbert	Sheffield	20
Conesuh	Evergreen	3
Coosa	Ashland	6
Covington	Andalusia	25
Crenshaw	Greenville	9
Cullman	Cullman	44
Dale	Ozark	11
DeKalb	Fort Payne	1
Elmore	Wetumpka	21
Escambia	Brewton	12
Etowah	Gadsden	75
Fayette	Fayette	12
Franklin	Russellville	9
Geneva	Geneva	13
Henry	Abbeville	8
Houston	Dothan	54
Jackson	Scottsboro	12

### Field Offices Cont.

Counties	Offices	Monthly Total
Jefferson	Bessemer	24
Jefferson	Birmingham	11
Lamar	Vernon	1
Lauderdale	Florence	15
Lawrence	Moulton	6
Lee	Opelika	5
Limestone	Athens	28
Lowndes	Greenville	9
Macon	Tuskegee	4
Madison	Huntsville	16
Marengo	Demopolis	11
Marion	Hamilton	19
Marshall	Guntersville	15
Mobile	Mobile	41
Monroe	Monroeville	0
Montgomery	Montgomery	21
Morgan	Decatur	57
Pickens	Carrollton	4
Pike	Troy	12
Randolph	Wedowee	7
Russell	Phenix City	21
Shelby	Calera	7
St. Clair	Ashville	10
St. Clair	Pell City	12
Talladega	Talladega	20
Talladega	Sylacauga	9
Tallapoosa	Alexander City	1
Tallapoosa	Dadeville	7
Tuscaloosa	Tuscaloosa	19
Walker	Jasper	0
Washington	Chattom/Batler	4
Wilcox	Selma/Camden	6
Winston	Double Springs	9

### DRCs and DRC Lites

Counties	Offices	Monthly Total
Clarke	Thomasville DRC	0
Jefferson	Birmingham DRC	72
Madison	Huntsville DRC	82
Mobile	Mobile DRC	93
Montgomery	Montgomery DRC	50
Tuscaloosa	Tuscaloosa DRC	41
Baldwin	Bay Minette DRC Lite	34
DeKalb	Fort Payne DRC Lite	17
Lee	Opelika DRC Lite	34
Marshall	Sand Mountain DRC Lite	16
Walker	Jasper DRC Lite	14
Perry	PREP Center	6

#### \*Total Number of Electronic Monitoring Participants in Offender Tracking Program

Offender Types	Class A	Sex Offender	Low Risk (ORAS)	Moderate Risk (ORAS)	High Risk (ORAS)	Very High Risk (ORAS)	Total EM
<b>Male</b>	222	120	217	383	167	436	1545
<b>Female</b>	14	1	58	69	34	64	240
<b>Black</b>	147	43	96	170	72	185	713
<b>White</b>	88	76	174	276	126	303	1043
<b>Hispanic</b>	0	1	4	3	2	11	21
<b>American Indian/Alaskan</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1	6
<b>Unknown</b>	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
<b>YO</b>	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>18-29</b>	23	7	43	71	48	115	307
<b>30-39</b>	73	36	87	160	73	182	611
<b>40-49</b>	94	36	86	116	48	137	517
<b>50-59</b>	34	25	36	71	21	54	241
<b>60-69</b>	12	14	22	31	10	10	99
<b>70-79</b>	0	2	1	1	1	2	7
<b>80+</b>	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
<b>Monthly Totals</b>	236	121	275	452	201	500	1785

\* In May of 2019 ABPP established the Electronic Monitoring Offender Tracking Program. When an offender meets the criteria and has been approved for EM, a specialized EM Officer installs the monitor, and monitors the offender through a computer program.

Funding Type	Monthly Total	FY 23 AVG
State EM **	1742	418
Grant EM ***	43	24
Early Release	248	139

\*\* Funding by the Legislature for FY 2022 will expand electronic monitoring statewide to include offenders that meet the following criteria: Class A, Sex Offender, and High and Very High-risk per policy.

\*\*\* Funding for electronic monitoring of Moderate to Very High risk has been provided by the Department of Justice through a Swift, Certain and Fair grant award. (15PBJA-21-GG-02700-PRJH)