

ALABAMA BUREAU OF  
**PARDONS & PAROLES**

**MONTHLY  
STATISTICAL  
REPORT**

---

**February 2024**

Developed and presented by the ABPP Data Unit

*For questions, please visit [paroles.alabama.gov](https://paroles.alabama.gov) or contact our  
Public Information Unit at 334.242.8700.*



## Table of Contents

Monthly Statistical Summary	3
Revocations Report	6
Field Staffing	7
Special Populations Staffing	8
Board Action Yearly Trends	9
Parole Guidelines Tracking	10
Year to Date Parole Decision Outcomes	11
Monthly Parole Decision Outcomes	12
Day Reporting Center Report	13
Population Trends	15
Electronic Monitoring Report	17

# Statistical Summary

As of February 29, 2024

## Supervision Summary

Supervision Type	# Of Active Offenders	# Of Inactive Offenders	Total by Type Offenders	% Of Total Offenders
Probation	17,168	16,444	<b>33,612</b>	78%
Parole & Dual	3,563	1,241	<b>4,804</b>	11%
Mandatory Supervision	948	1,091	<b>2,039</b>	5%
Other	13	16	<b>29</b>	0%
Investigation	18	2,797	<b>2,815</b>	7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>21,710</b>	<b>21,589</b>	<b>43,299</b>	<b>100%</b>

## Interstate Compact Offenders (OS)

Supervision Type	# Of Active Offenders	# Of Inactive Offenders	Total by Type Offenders
Probation	2,762	1,371	<b>4,133</b>
Parole & Dual	619	464	<b>1,083</b>
Mandatory Supervision	5	23	<b>28</b>
Other	0	0	<b>0</b>
Investigation	8	8	<b>16</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,394</b>	<b>1,866</b>	<b>5,260</b>

## Special Population Offenders

Supervision Type	# Of Active Offenders	# Of Inactive Offenders	Total by Type Offenders
<b>DRC Participants</b>	<b>571</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>801</b>
<i>Probation</i>	134	98	<b>232</b>
<i>Parole &amp; Dual</i>	156	43	<b>199</b>
<i>Other</i>	0	0	<b>0</b>
<i>Mandatory Supervision</i>	281	89	<b>370</b>
<i>Investigation</i>	0	0	<b>0</b>
<b>ACES Clients</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>Probation</i>	0	1	<b>1</b>
<i>Parole &amp; Dual</i>	0	0	<b>0</b>
<i>Mandatory Supervision</i>	0	0	<b>0</b>
<i>Investigation</i>	0	0	<b>0</b>
<b>RM Grant Clients</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>53</b>
<i>Probation</i>	11	6	17
<i>Parole &amp; Dual</i>	4	1	5
<i>Mandatory Supervision</i>	29	2	31
<i>Investigation</i>	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>855</b>

# Statistical Summary

As of February 29, 2024 (continued)

Supervision Type	# Of Active Offenders	# Of Inactive Offenders	Total by Type Offenders	% Of Total Offenders
<b>Probation</b>	<b>17,168</b>	<b>16,444</b>	<b>33,612</b>	<b>78%</b>
<i>Violent</i>	6,248	6,542	<b>12,790</b>	38.1%
<i>Non-Violent</i>	10,920	9,902	<b>20,822</b>	61.9%
Drug	7,249	7,472	<b>14,721</b>	43.8%
Sex	493	317	<b>810</b>	2.4%
Property	3,699	5,319	<b>9,018</b>	26.8%
Personal Injury	1,737	1,359	<b>3,096</b>	9.2%
Other	3,990	1,977	<b>5,967</b>	17.8%
<b>Parole &amp; Dual</b>	<b>3,563</b>	<b>1,241</b>	<b>4,804</b>	<b>11%</b>
<i>Violent</i>	2,393	809	<b>3,202</b>	66.7%
<i>Non-Violent</i>	1,170	432	<b>1,602</b>	33.3%
Drug	1,120	330	<b>1,450</b>	30.2%
Sex	34	35	<b>69</b>	1.4%
Property	865	316	<b>1,181</b>	24.6%
Personal Injury	784	257	<b>1,041</b>	21.7%
Other	760	303	<b>1,063</b>	22.1%
<b>Mandatory Supervision</b>	<b>948</b>	<b>1,091</b>	<b>2,039</b>	<b>5%</b>
<i>Violent</i>	595	646	<b>1,241</b>	60.9%
<i>Non-Violent</i>	353	445	<b>798</b>	39.1%
Drug	476	536	<b>1,012</b>	49.6%
Sex	18	20	<b>38</b>	1.9%
Property	230	292	<b>522</b>	25.6%
Personal Injury	111	95	<b>206</b>	10.1%
Other	113	148	<b>261</b>	12.8%
<b>Other</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0%</b>
<i>Violent</i>	4	8	<b>12</b>	41%
<i>Non-Violent</i>	9	8	<b>17</b>	59%
<b>Investigation</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2,797</b>	<b>2,815</b>	<b>7%</b>
<i>Violent</i>	2	294	<b>296</b>	10.5%
<i>Non-Violent</i>	16	2,503	<b>2,519</b>	89.5%

\*Supervised offenders may be in more than one offense type category.

## Total Population Comparison Violent vs. Non-Violent

	# Of Active Offenders	# Of Inactive Offenders	Total by Type Offenders	% Of Total Offenders
Total Violent Population	9,242	8,299	17,541	41%
Total Non-Violent	12,468	13,290	25,758	59%
<b>Total Population</b>	<b>21,710</b>	<b>21,589</b>	<b>43,299</b>	<b>100%</b>

# Statistical Summary


As of February 29, 2024 (continued)

## Demographic Summary (By Race and Gender)

Race	Male	% of Total Male Population	Female	% of Total Female Population	# Of Offenders	% Of Total By Race
White	16,923	50.1%	6,506	68.7%	23,429	54.1%
Black or AA	15,933	47.1%	2,824	29.8%	18,757	43.3%
Native American	54	0.2%	17	0.2%	71	0.2%
Hispanic	758	2.2%	79	0.8%	837	1.9%
Asian or other	64	0.2%	15	0.2%	79	0.2%
Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	5	0.1%	5	0.0%
Unknown	75	0.2%	22	0.2%	97	0.2%
<b>Total By Gender</b>	<b>33,807</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>9,468</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>43,275</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



\*Excludes 24 of unknown race and gender

## Revocations by Type



## Board Action Yearly Trends

### Parole and Mandatory Release Supervision



#### Parole Revocations


	New Offense	New Offense and Technical	Technical Offense Only	Feb-24	Fiscal Year*
Revoked	30	7	13	50	257
Reinstated				52	241
Continued				1	3
Remanded				7	26
Total Hearings				110	527

#### Mandatory Release Revocations

	New Offense	New Offense and Technical	Technical Offense Only	Feb-24	Fiscal Year*
Revocations	1	0	1	2	12

#### Probation Revocations


	New Offense	New Offense and Technical	Technical Offense Only	Feb-24	Fiscal Year*
Probation Revocations	90	42	64	196	880



\*Fiscal Year denotes October 1<sup>st</sup> thru September 30<sup>th</sup>


#### REVOCATIONS BY OFFENDER TYPE FY24

Parole	257
Mandatory Release	12
Probation	880
<b>Total</b>	<b>1149</b>



#### REVOCATIONS BY OFFENDER TYPE February - 2024

Parole	110
Mandatory Release	2
Probation	196
<b>Total</b>	<b>308</b>



## Field Operations Staffing Report | February 2024

District	Office Location	#Officers assigned	Total Active	Total Inactive	Projected Caseload w/New Hires	Officer in Charge	Parole Officers	New Hires	Specialists
1A	Chatom/Butler	4	143	166	36	1	3	0	1
1A	Mobile	26	1456	1257	56	7	19	0	6
1B	Andalusia	5	317	381	63	1	4	0	2
1B	Bay Minette	13	760	1129	58	3	10	0	2
1B	Brewton	7	378	524	54	1	6	0	1
1B	Evergreen	2	61	53	31	0	2	0	0
1B	Greenville	5	287	232	57	1	4	0	1
1B	Grove Hill	4	135	185	34	1	3	0	0
1B	Monroeville	2	83	52	42	1	1	0	1
1C	Abbeville	2	111	89	56	0	2	0	0
1C	Dothan	16	804	908	50	3	13	0	4
1C	Enterprise	4	237	205	59	1	3	0	1
1C	Geneva	3	217	98	72	1	2	0	1
1C	Ozark	5	264	159	53	2	3	0	1
1D	Clayton	5	151	114	30	1	4	0	0
1D	Opelika	9	601	775	67	2	7	0	2
1D	Phenix City	6	398	402	66	1	5	0	2
1D	Troy	5	187	212	37	1	4	0	1
1D	Tuskegee	3	105	64	35	1	2	0	0
1E	Anniston	13	918	1064	71	3	10	0	1
1E	Lafayette	4	158	122	40	1	3	0	1
1E	Wedowee	4	122	117	31	1	3	0	1
	<b>Division Total</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>7893</b>	<b>8308</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>29</b>
2A	Montgomery	16	1078	1175	67	4	11	1	4
2B	Alexander City	3	86	109	29	1	2	0	1
2B	Ashland	3	122	191	41	1	2	0	1
2B	Ashville	5	159	181	32	1	4	0	1
2B	Dadeville	3	79	69	26	1	2	0	1
2B	Pell City	5	199	320	40	1	4	0	1
2B	Sylacauga	4	171	182	43	1	3	0	1
2B	Talladega	4	222	340	56	1	3	0	1
2C	Bessemer	11	627	452	57	2	9	0	2
2C	Cullman	6	275	224	46	1	5	0	1
2C	Oneonta	4	201	222	50	0	4	0	1
2D	Birmingham	31	1794	1331	58	10	21	0	5
2E	Centre	4	205	193	51	1	3	0	1
2E	Fort Payne	4	270	268	68	1	3	0	1
2E	Gadsden	12	594	866	50	3	8	1	2
2E	Guntersville	7	508	472	73	2	5	0	1
2E	Scottsboro	5	299	388	60	1	4	0	1
	<b>Division Total</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>6889</b>	<b>6983</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>26</b>
3A	Calera	9	591	1233	66	3	6	0	1
3A	Centreville	3	143	116	48	1	2	0	1
3A	Clanton	4	254	254	64	1	3	0	2
3A	Prattville	4	165	167	41	1	3	0	2
3A	Selma/Camden	5	122	169	24	1	4	0	0
3A	Wetumpka	6	299	395	50	2	4	0	2
3B	Carrollton	3	72	96	24	1	2	0	1
3B	Fayette	3	95	139	32	1	2	0	1
3B	Jasper	6	245	161	41	1	5	0	1
3B	Demopolis	4	129	110	32	2	2	0	1
3B	Tuscaloosa	15	1013	1712	68	4	11	0	2
3B	Vernon	3	114	127	38	0	3	0	1
3C	Decatur	8	544	384	68	2	6	0	1
3C	Double Springs	3	121	150	40	1	2	0	0
3C	Florence	5	260	354	52	1	4	0	1
3C	Hamilton	5	241	285	48	1	4	0	0
3C	Moulton	4	235	154	59	1	3	0	1
3C	Russellville	3	119	182	40	1	2	0	0
3C	Sheffield	3	142	34	47	1	2	0	1
3D	Athens	5	233	106	47	1	4	0	0
3D	Huntsville	21	1558	1236	74	5	16	0	5
	<b>Division Total</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>6695</b>	<b>7564</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>
	Headquarters	4	2	849	1	0	4	0	4
	<b>FIELD OPERATIONS TOTAL</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>21479</b>	<b>23704</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>79</b>

## Special Population Staffing Report | February 2024

Office Code	Office Location	#Officers assigned	Total Active	Inactive	Projected Caseload w/New Hires	*OICs	Parole Officers	New Hires	Specialists
R06	Birmingham DRC	5	79	101	16	1	4	1	0
R74	Mobile DRC	7	114	116	16	1	6	0	1
R75	Montgomery DRC	7	70	53	10	1	6	0	0
R78	Huntsville DRC	5	83	106	17	1	4	0	0
R79	Tuscaloosa DRC	6	92	58	15	2	4	0	0
Y69	Thomasville DRC	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
<b>DRC TOTAL</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>435</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>

\* Officers in Charge at full Day Reporting Centers do not typically carry a caseload.

	PREP Center	2	59	2	30	0	2	0	0
--	-------------	---	----	---	----	---	---	---	---

### DRC LiteTotals


1B	Baldwin DRC Lite	2	28	39	14	1	1	0	0
2E	Fort Payne DRC-Lite	2	27	16	14	1	1	0	0
2E	Sand Mountain DRC-Lite	2	24	30	12	1	1	0	0
3B	Jasper DRC-Lite	3	20	15	7	1	2	0	0
1D	Opelika DRC-Lite	2	43	45	22	1	1	0	0
<b>DRC LITE TOTAL</b>		<b>11</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>STATEWIDE TOTAL</b>		<b>44</b>	<b>639</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>

\* The DRC Lites staff a social service caseworker or equivalent to assist with treatment.



## Board Action Yearly Trends-Parole


**FY2015 - FY 2023 Grant Rates**



Fiscal Year	Grants	Denials	Total Decisions	Grant Rate
FY2015	2270	3782	6052	38%
FY2016	3107	3350	6457	48%
FY2017	3847	3151	6998	55%
FY2018	3730	3263	6993	53%
FY2019	1368	3078	4446	31%
FY2020	548	2160	2708	20%
FY 2021	648	3584	4232	15%
FY 2022	409	3593	4002	10%
FY 2023	312	3479	3791	8%


## Board Action Yearly Trends-Pardons

**FY2015 - FY 2023 Grant Rates**



Fiscal Year	Grants	Denials	Total Decisions	Grant Rate
FY2015	538	171	709	76%
FY2016	290	90	380	76%
FY2017	571	211	782	73%
FY2018	830	208	1038	80%
FY2019	889	239	1128	79%
FY2020	210	299	509	41%
FY2021	353	944	1297	27%
FY 2022	799	604	1403	57%
FY 2023	1082	751	1833	59%

## Parole Decisions and Guideline Tracking by Month



Month-Year	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23	Jan-24	Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	FY 2024 YTD
Total Parole Hearings	247	255	178	281	273								1234
Total Granted	48	33	33	66	66								246
Total Denied	199	222	145	215	207								988
Grant Rate	19%	13%	19%	23%	24%								20%
*Recommended Grant Rate	85%	83%	85%	85%	78%								83%
**Conformance Rate	23%	16%	22%	28%	31%								24%

\* Parole Guidelines were adopted in July 2020 and data collection began immediately. As data are not yet available for analysis, guidelines have not been validated and therefore do not indicate an individual's likelihood to be successful if paroled. Planning for validation of parole guidelines includes initial assessment in 2022. After validation of the guidelines more information will be available on the actual predictive nature of this tool and therefore revisions may be necessary.

\*\* A conformance rate indicates the percentage of cases where the Board's decisions matched that of the recommendation from the Parole Guidelines. The Board maintains discretion on all parole decisions.

## Yearly Totals Parole Decision Outcomes & Guideline Conformance

FY 2024		Total Decisions YTD	Total Granted YTD	Total Denied YTD	Grant Rate YTD	*Conformance to Guidelines
		Decisions	Grant	Deny	Rate	Conform
<b>Totals</b>		1234	246	988	20%	24%
Gender	Male	1130	208	922	18%	22%
	Female	104	38	66	37%	38%
Race	Black	647	90	557	14%	17%
	White	571	153	418	27%	30%
	Hispanic	7	2	5	29%	0%
	Unknown	9	1	8	11%	0%
Age	YO	0	0	0	NA	NA
	18-29	102	19	83	19%	29%
	30-39	358	54	304	15%	19%
	40-49	366	80	286	22%	26%
	50-59	273	71	202	26%	28%
	60-69	98	19	79	19%	21%
	70-79	33	3	30	9%	10%
	80+	4	0	4	0%	0%
Risk Assessment	Low	420	80	340	19%	19%
	Moderate	527	124	403	24%	28%
	High	223	38	185	17%	24%
	Very High	59	3	56	5%	25%
Offense Severity	Low	250	79	171	32%	32%
	Moderate	188	64	124	34%	37%
	High	795	102	693	13%	17%
Institutional Behavior	0 Disc w/in last 12 months	976	229	747	23%	26%
	1 or more non-violent disc	189	15	174	8%	11%
	1 violent disc	50	0	50	0%	0%
	2 + violent disc	18	1	17	6%	NA
Programming	Completed Req. Programs	891	180	711	20%	23%
	Reasonable Effort Made	219	45	174	21%	23%
	No Reasonable Effort Made	99	18	81	18%	33%
	Unacceptable Compliance	24	2	22	8%	NA
Offense Type	Violent	669	51	618	8%	9%
	Non-violent	565	195	370	35%	41%

\*A conformance rate indicates the percentage of cases where the Board's decisions matched that of the recommendation from the Parole Guidelines. The Board maintains discretion on all parole decisions.

## Monthly Parole Decision Outcomes

February-24		Total Decisions Current Month	Total Granted Current Month	Total Denied Current Month	Grant Rate Current Month	Guidelines Recommend	Guidelines Not Recommend	*Guideline Grant Rate	**Conformance to Guidelines
Totals		Decisions	Grant	Deny	Rate	Grant	Deny	Rate	Conform
Gender	Male	257	58	199	23%	198	59	77%	29%
	Female	16	8	8	50%	16	0	100%	50%
Race	Black	147	23	124	16%	111	36	76%	21%
	White	121	42	79	35%	99	22	82%	42%
	Hispanic	2	1	1	50%	2	0	NA	0%
	Unknown	3	0	3	0%	2	1	NA	0%
Age	YO	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0%	NA
	18-29	24	5	19	21%	13	11	54%	38%
	30-39	79	11	68	14%	57	22	72%	19%
	40-49	78	24	54	31%	62	16	79%	39%
	50-59	61	23	38	38%	53	8	87%	43%
	60-69	22	3	19	14%	20	2	91%	15%
	70-79	9	0	9	0%	9	0	100%	0%
	80+	0	0	0	NA	0	0	0%	NA
Risk Assessment † † †	Low	90	20	70	22%	88	2	98%	23%
	Moderate	107	31	76	29%	82	25	77%	38%
	High	62	13	49	21%	37	25	60%	35%
	Very High	9	1	8	11%	2	7	22%	50%
Offense Severity	Low	55	28	27	51%	53	2	96%	53%
	Moderate	31	16	15	52%	29	2	94%	55%
	High	187	22	165	12%	132	55	71%	17%
Institutional Behavior	0 Disc w/in last 12 months	219	62	157	28%	188	31	86%	33%
	1 or more non-violent disc	36	4	32	11%	23	13	64%	17%
	1 violent disc	14	0	14	0%	3	11	21%	0%
	2 or more violent disc	4	0	4	0%	0	4	0%	NA
Programming	Completion of Required Programs	195	47	148	24%	167	28	86%	28%
	Reasonable Effort Made	50	14	36	28%	41	9	82%	34%
	No Reasonable Effort Made	20	4	16	20%	6	14	30%	67%
	Unacceptable Compliance	8	1	7	13%	0	8	0%	NA
Offense Type	Violent	168	14	154	8%	123	45	73%	11%
	Non-violent	105	52	53	50%	91	14	87%	57%

\* Parole Guidelines were adopted in July 2020 and data collection began immediately.

\*\* A conformance rate indicates the percentage of cases where the Board's decisions matched that of the recommendation from the Parole Guidelines. The Board maintains discretion on all parole decisions.

\*\*\* Risk Assessment for February 2024 includes 4 w/o a risk level due to being non-responsive. Guidelines Recommend Grant includes 5 w/o risk level.

# Day Reporting Center Enrollment Report

DRC Active offenders as of February 29, 2024

DRCs		Birmingham DRC	Mobile DRC	Montgomery DRC	Huntsville DRC	Tuscaloosa DRC	Thomasville DRC	DRC Totals	
<b>Offender Types</b>									
<b>Gender</b>	Male	60	94	54	61	69	0	338	
	Female	14	13	6	13	20	0	66	
<b>Race</b>	Black	48	59	40	43	52	0	242	
	White	26	47	20	31	36	0	160	
	Unknown	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	
<b>Age</b>	YO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	18-29	8	10	6	13	23	0	60	
	30-39	16	35	16	25	25	0	117	
	40-49	25	35	16	21	24	0	121	
	50-59	15	15	11	14	14	0	69	
	60-69	9	12	11	1	3	0	36	
	70-79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	80+	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	<b>Phases</b>	Phase 1	42	41	40	54	39	0	216
		Phase 2	26	61	16	13	50	0	166
Phase 3		6	5	4	7	0	0	22	
Completed		22	7	2	9	7	0	47	
Closed-Failure		12	2	0	10	6	1	31	
<b>Closed Monthly</b>	Closed-Administrative	2	1	0	1	2	0	6	

\* DRCs offer treatment services to offenders who have high criminogenic needs and are available to judges as a form of alternate sentencing. The three-phase, evidence-based program helps clients assimilate back into society after incarceration. Although these facilities have only existed in Alabama since 2015, the Bureau has already seen evidence to their impact on individuals who complete programming.

## Day Reporting Center Enrollment Report cont.

DRC Lite Active offenders as of February 29, 2024

		DRC Lites	Bay Minette	Fort Payne	Sand Mountain	Jasper	Opelika	DRC Lite Totals
		Offender Types						
Gender	Male	21	19	9	16	30	95	
	Female	3	7	4	4	8	26	
Race	Black	3	3	2	1	24	33	
	White	20	23	11	19	14	87	
	Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	1	
Age	YO	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	18-29	0	3	2	0	8	13	
	30-39	8	8	6	6	8	36	
	40-49	7	5	3	8	11	34	
	50-59	7	6	2	5	5	25	
	60-69	1	4	0	1	5	11	
	70-79	1	0	0	0	1	2	
Closed Monthly Phases	80+	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Phase 1	20	20	10	9	20	79	
	Phase 2	2	2	0	11	10	25	
	Phase 3	2	4	3	0	8	17	
	Completed	19	5	10	0	6	40	
	Closed-Failure	11	8	8	4	0	31	
	Closed-Administrative	0	0	1	1	1	3	

ABPPP

\*Alabama consists of many rural communities, presenting challenges to delivering the type and scope of services that offenders typically receive in full Day Reporting Centers. Therefore, the Bureau has adopted the DRC Lites model for smaller, more rural offices. DRC Lites are condensed versions of DRCs and offer similar programming but are limited to focusing on cognitive behavioral treatment, substance dependence assistance and educational/job training.

FY 2024 Active Population Trends by Location

Field Offices

Counties	Offices	FY 23 Avg.	Feb 24 Totals
Autauga	Prattville	177	165
Baldwin	Bay Minette	760	760
Bibb	Clayton	151	151
Bibb	Centreville	146	143
Blount	Oneonta	196	201
Butler	Greenville	281	287
Calhoun	Anniston	906	918
Chambers	LaFayette	159	158
Cherokee	Centre	197	205
Chilton	Clanton	266	254
Clarke	Grove Hill	137	135
Clay	Ashland	155	122
Coffee	Enterprise	247	237
Colbert	Sheffield	138	142
Conecuh	Evergreen	64	61
Covington	Andalusia	289	317
Cullman	Cullman	339	275
Dale	Ozark	258	264
DeKalb	Fort Payne	249	270
Elmore	Wetumpka	284	299
Escambia	Brewton	357	378
Etowah	Gadsden	591	594
Fayette	Fayette	104	95
Franklin	Russellville	125	119
Geneva	Geneva	205	217
Henry	Abbeville	99	111
Houston	Dothan	813	804
Jackson	Scottsboro	281	299
Jefferson	Bessemer	603	627
Jefferson	Birmingham	1808	1794

Field Offices Cont.

Counties	Offices	FY 23 Avg.	Feb 24 Totals
Lamar	Vernon	114	114
Lauderdale	Florence	248	260
Lawrence	Moulton	252	235
Lee	Opelika	550	601
Limestone	Athens	228	233
Macon	Tuskegee	100	105
Madison	Huntsville	1398	1558
Marengo	Demopolis	124	129
Marion	Hamilton	243	241
Marshall	Guntersville	388	508
Mobile	Mobile	1410	1456
Monroe	Monroeville	92	83
Montgomery	Montgomery	1060	1078
Morgan	Decatur	568	544
Pickens	Carrrollton	80	72
Pike	Troy	202	187
Randolph	Wedowee	127	122
Russell	Phenix City	350	398
Shelby	Calera	630	591
St. Clair	Pell City	182.5	199
St. Clair	Ashville	182	159
Talladega	Talladega	223	222
Talladega	Sylacauga	225	171
Tallapoosa	Alexander City	98	86
Tallapoosa	Dadeville	94	79
Tuscaloosa	Tuscaloosa	1008	1013
Walker	Jasper	236	245
Washington	Chatom/Butler	123	143
Wilcox	Selma/Camden	114	122
Winston	Double Springs	112	121

DRCs

Counties	Offices	Fy 23 Avg.	Feb 24 Totals
Clarke	Thomasville	2	0
Jefferson	Birmingham	92	79
Madison	Huntsville	55	83
Mobile	Mobile	74	114
Montgomery	Montgomery	53	70
Tuscaloosa	Tuscaloosa	87	92

DRC Lites

Counties	Offices	Fy 23 Avg.	Feb 24 Totals
Baldwin	Baldwin	26	28
DeKalb	Fort Payne	30	27
Walker	Jasper	15	20
Lee	Opelika	36	43
Marshall	Sand Mountain	34	24

PREP Center

Counties	Offices	Fy 23 Avg.	Feb 24 Totals
Perry	Prep	15	59



**Population Trends Cont.**

**Supervision Types FY 2024**



	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23	Jan-24	Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	FYTD 24 Average
Probation	17,031	17,239	17,231	17,134	17,168								17,161
Parole	3,704	3,641	3,586	3,579	3,563								3,615
Mandatory Release	980	999	1,004	959	948								978

\*Offenders serving probation and parole count as parole. Offenders serving MR and probation are counted as MR.

**Risk Levels FY 2024**

	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23	Jan-24	Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24	May-24	Jun-24	Jul-24	Aug-24	Sep-24	FYTD 24 Average
Very High	409	418	419	377	387								402
High	1,606	1,682	1,513	1,516	1,494								1,562
Moderate	4,423	4,473	4,434	4,438	4,383								4,430
Low	13,504	13,660	13,837	13,746	13,801								13,710
Banked Caseload	1,798	1,751	1,723	1,711	1,723								1,741

\* Risk levels include Very High, Moderate, Low and BCL. Levels are determined by a validated risk and needs assessment tool.





## Electronic Monitoring Participants February 2024

### Field Offices

Counties	Offices	Monthly Total
Autauga	Prattville	16
Baldwin	Bay Minette	34
Barbour	Clayton	3
Bibb	Centreville	4
Blount	Oneonta	6
Calhoun	Anniston	58
Chambers	LaFayette	10
Cherokee	Centre	8
Chilton	Clanton	29
Clarke	Grove Hill	0
Coffee	Enterprise	17
Colbert	Sheffield	13
Conecuh	Evergreen	3
Coosa	Ashland	5
Covington	Andalusia	25
Crenshaw	Greenville	7
Cullman	Cullman	34
Dale	Ozark	9
DeKalb	Fort Payne	0
Elmore	Wetumpka	17
Escambia	Brewton	11
Etowah	Gadsden	59
Fayette	Fayette	4
Franklin	Russellville	6
Geneva	Geneva	13
Henry	Abbeville	8
Houston	Dothan	51
Jackson	Scottsboro	13

### Field Offices Cont.

Counties	Offices	Monthly Total
Jefferson	Bessemer	16
Jefferson	Birmingham	14
Lamar	Vernon	3
Lauderdale	Florence	16
Lawrence	Moulton	8
Lee	Opelika	5
Limestone	Athens	20
Lowndes	Greenville	7
Macon	Tuskegee	3
Madison	Huntsville	10
Marengo	Demopolis	12
Marion	Hamilton	19
Marshall	Guntersville	8
Mobile	Mobile	34
Monroe	Monroeville	1
Montgomery	Montgomery	22
Morgan	Decatur	40
Pickens	Carrollton	5
Pike	Troy	12
Randolph	Wedowee	7
Russell	Phenix City	18
Shelby	Calera	7
St. Clair	Ashville	4
St. Clair	Pell City	11
Talladega	Talladega	13
Talladega	Sylacauga	12
Tallapoosa	Alexander City	2
Tallapoosa	Dadeville	7
Tuscaloosa	Tuscaloosa	15
Walker	Jasper	0
Washington	Chatom/Butler	5
Wilcox	Selma/Camden	6
Winston	Double Springs	6

### DRCs and DRC Lites

Counties	Offices	Monthly Total
Clarke	Thomasville DRC	0
Jefferson	Birmingham DRC	70
Madison	Huntsville DRC	83
Mobile	Mobile DRC	97
Montgomery	Montgomery DRC	48
Tuscaloosa	Tuscaloosa DRC	30
Baldwin	Bay Minette DRC Lite	25
DeKalb	Fort Payne DRC Lite	19
Lee	Opelika DRC Lite	38
Marshall	Sand Mountain DRC Lite	19
Walker	Jasper DRC Lite	13
Perry	PREP Center	2

### \*Total Number of Electronic Monitoring Participants in Offender Tracking Program

Offender Types	Class A	Sex Offender	Low Risk (ORAS)	Moderate Risk (ORAS)	High Risk (ORAS)	Very High Risk (ORAS)	Total EM
<b>Male</b>	211	110	193	305	150	410	1058
<b>Female</b>	11	0	52	51	36	71	210
<b>Black</b>	138	44	91	137	83	188	681
<b>White</b>	83	65	150	215	100	283	896
<b>Hispanic</b>	1	0	2	2	2	10	17
<b>American Indian/Alaskan</b>	1	1	2	1	1	0	6
<b>Unknown</b>	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>YO</b>	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>18-29</b>	19	5	38	47	34	96	239
<b>30-39</b>	67	30	77	108	64	182	528
<b>40-49</b>	90	32	73	103	54	134	486
<b>50-59</b>	33	24	38	64	25	50	234
<b>60-69</b>	14	16	17	31	8	17	103
<b>70-79</b>	0	2	2	2	1	2	9
<b>80+</b>	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
<b>Monthly Totals</b>	222	110	245	356	186	481	1268

\* In May of 2019 ABPP established the Electronic Monitoring Offender Tracking Program. When an offender meets the criteria and has been approved for EM, a specialized EM Officer installs the monitor, and monitors the offender through a computer program.

Funding Type	Monthly Total	Fy 23 AVG
<b>State EM **</b>	1225	418
<b>Grant EM ***</b>	43	24
<b>Early Release</b>	248	139

\*\* Funding by the Legislature for FY 2022 will expand electronic monitoring statewide to include offenders that meet the following criteria: Class A, Sex Offender, and High and Very High-risk per policy.

\*\*\* Funding for electronic monitoring of Moderate to Very High risk has been provided by the Department of Justice through a Swift, Certain and Fair grant award. (15PBJA-21-GG-02700-PRJH)